



Regulatory challenges in the drug-food continuum

Yolanda Sanz 19.10.2017 • IMI Stakeholder Forum | Microbiome • Brussels, Belgium



Regulation of health claims on foods EU No 1924/2006

Reconciling science and regulation: EFSA role in health claims

Microbiome promises in the drug-food continuum

Facing new challenges related to microbiome-based products



EU Regulation on claims: key purposes

A health claim is any representation that states, suggests, or implies a relationship between a food/constituent and health





Consumer protection

Harmonization of legislation

Ensuring fair competition

Innovation (5 year protection)



Main principles of health claims regulation

Classification of claims

Foodstuff **cannot** be attributed the property of **preventing**, **treating** or **curing disease** (Art 7(3) Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011).

Foods versus drugs

Function Claims related to maintenance of a function of the body or improvement in case of physiological decline (Art. 13.1, 13.5, 14-children)

Disease Risk Claims related to the reduction of a risk factor contributing to the disease onset (Art. 14)



Main principles of health claims regulation

For the purpose of communicating the health properties of a food/constituent to consumers:

- Subjects with a disease cannot be the target population for health claims. In principle, the target population should be the general (healthy) population or specific subgroups thereof.
- Function claims cannot refer to a disease.
- Disease risk reduction claims cannot refer to the reduction of the risk of a disease, but should refer to the reduction of a risk factor for disease.

General scientific guidance on health claim application EFSA Journal 2016;14(1):4367



Reconciling science and regulation

Regulatory framework may be in contradiction to scientific principles

TARGET POPULATION=GENERAL POPULATION



- Disease subjects as study groups to prove efficacy of foods
- Subjects with diet-related disorders considered as appropriate study groups since they could benefit the most from health claims made on foods.
- The relationship between a food/constituent and a function can be best measured by using disease outcomes
- Disease outcomes provide stronger evidence than risk factors for the ability of a food to reduce the disease risk.

General scientific guidance on health claim application. EFSA Journal 2016;14(1):4367



Reconciling science and regulation

The problem of the case-by-case scientific judgement

STUDY GROUP:

IBS patients to prove an effect on GI discomfort

TARGET POPULATION:

General adult population

STUDY GROUP:

Mildly hypercholesteraemic subjects to prove reductions in LDL-cholesterol

TARGET POPULATION:

Adults who want to lower their blood cholesterol concentrations.

CLINICAL OUTCOMES:

Symptoms of infections, incidence of infections

<u>CLAIM</u>: Defence against pathogens or reduction of the risk of infections



Probiotics in (some) professional guidelines

Versus health claims approval



World Gastroenterology Organisation

Global Guardian of Digestive Health. Serving the World.

October 2011

WGO Practice Guideline – Probiotics and Prebiotics



Whether a claim is a food or medicinal claim and the admissibility of the target population for a claim depends on risk managers



Microbiome promises in the drug-food area

37% microbiome research

nitiative



Microbiome promises in the drug-food area



Facing new challenges: microbiome products

- EFSA guidance on novel food applications: Section 9- relates to foods consisting of, isolated from or produced from microorganisms
 - Indigenous human gut bacteria
 - Unambiguous taxonomic classification, whole-genome characterization, antibiotic resistance assessment and other safety issues.
 - Examples of novel foods/ingredients approved:
 - Clostridium butyricum CBM 588
 - Milk products fermented with Bacteroides xylanisolvens (EFSA Journal 2016;14(11):4594)



EFSA guidance on the characterisation of microorganisms used as feed additives or as production organisms. Under public consultation until 15 September 2017



Facing new challenges: personalization

Priority topics for the development of risk assessment guidance by EFSA's Scientific Committee in 2016–2018: Individual susceptibility and uncertainty factors should be considered for future assessments, including variables such as the individual's microbiota (influencing glycaemic responses to a given diet/food, <u>nutrient absorption and metabolism</u>, <u>xenobiotic metabolism</u>, <u>maturation of the immune and nervous systems</u>, etc.), etc. (*EFSA Journal 2016;14(6):4502*).



- Classification of personalized foods
 - Conventional foods? Narrowing down the groups of the general population?
 - Foods for Special Groups or Medical Purposes (FSMPs)? When are intended for patients to meet specific nutritional needs (*Regulation (EU) No* 609/2013, EFSA Journal, 13: 4300).



Towards holistic and more personalized nutrition and health approaches



Microbiome improves prediction of glycemic response





Microbiota-based (directed) foods as conventional or medical foods?

 They can have a place as conventional and medical foods, depending on their purpose.

• As conventional foods:

(i) they should be intended for **general healthy population** and affect **risk factors** for disease

(ii) functions of the **microbiota** are **not** considered as **part of the functions of the human body**, but as mechanisms.

As <u>medical foods</u> (FSMPs): they are intended for managing disease but for meeting specific nutritional needs only.

Alliances between the food and health area are key to respond to the demographic change and develop a sustainable health care system









Thank you

Yolanda Sanz yolsanz@iata.csic.es

www.imi.europa.eu